JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 38, 338-343 (1983)

# Interpolation Projections and Banach Spaces

**BORIS SHEKHTMAN** 

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007, U.S.A.

Communicated by Richard S. Varga

Received February 1, 1982, revised August 6, 1982

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and C(K) the space of all real or complex valued continuous functions on K. Let F(K) be another Banach space of continuous functions on K, continuously imbedded into C(K):

$$J: F(K) \hookrightarrow C(K).$$

In this paper, we are concerned with the convergence of linear interpolation projections in F(K). We will need the following notation: If A is a topological space with a topology  $\tau$  and  $A_n$  is a sequence of subsets of A, then

$$\tau\text{-Lim } A_n := \{a \in A : a = \tau\text{-lim } a_n, a_n \in A_n\}.$$

Let  $\Delta_n = \{t_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n \subset K$  be a set of distinct points in K. Then the interpolation projection  $p(\Delta_n)$  is a linear continuous operator from F(K) into F(K) such that  $p^2(\Delta_n) = p(\Delta_n)$  and such that

$$[f - p(\Delta_n)f]|_{\Delta_n} = 0, \quad \forall f \in F(K), \text{ dim range } p = n.$$

Every interpolation projection can be written as

$$p(\Delta_n)f = \sum_{j=1}^n f(t_j^{(n)}) \, s_j^{(n)}, \, s_j^{(n)} \in F(K); \qquad s_j^{(n)}(t_i^{(n)}) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Thus, the sets  $\{s_j(t)\}_{j=1}^n$  and  $\Delta_n$  characterizes the range and the kernel of  $p(\Delta_n)$ .

The following is the simple consequence of the uniform boundedness principle:

**PROPOSITION 1.** The sequence  $p(\Delta_n)$  converges to the identity in F(K) iff

$$\| p(\Delta_n) \|_{F \to F} \quad uniformly \ bounded, \tag{1}$$
338

0021-9045/83 \$3.00

Copyright © 1983 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. and

F-Lim range 
$$p(\Delta_n) = F(K)$$
. (2)

This proposition gives us a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of interpolation projections in terms of their ranges. The dependence on  $\Delta_n$  (or equivalently on ker  $p(\Delta_n)$ ) does not enter the proposition explicitly.

One can ask if condition (2) can be replaced by

$$K-\operatorname{Lim} \Delta_n = K, \tag{3}$$

and still have Proposition 1 valid. Unfortunately, this is not in general possible. In fact (cf. [1]), if F(K) = C(K), then there exists a sequence of projections satisfying (1) and (3) for which  $p(\Delta_n) \neq I$  (In Section III of this paper, we will show that such projections exist in *any* Banach space.)

On the other hand, we shall show that suitable strengthening condition (1) in Proposition 1 produces the desired result! First, it is easy to see that if  $J: F(K) \subseteq C(K)$  is compact, then (1) and (3) imply

$$\|f - p(\Delta_n)f\|_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in C(K).$$
(4)

If, in addition, F is dense in C and if the norms  $|| p(\Delta_n) ||_{C \to C}$  are uniformly bounded, then

$$||f - p(\Delta_n)f||_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in C(K).$$

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the compactness of J is not only sufficient *but also necessary* for (4). Thus, the convergence properties of interpolation projections characterize the Banach spaces F(K). This in turn leads to the characterization of all compactly imbedded pairs of Banach spaces  $X \subseteq Y$ .

Throughout this paper, we will assume that there exists at least one sequence of projections  $p(\Delta_n)$  with properties (1) and (3). We also assume the existence of M > 0 such that for every  $t \in K$ , there exists  $f \in F(K)$  with

$$f(t) = 1, ||f||_F \leq M$$
 for some  $t \in A_n$ .

The last condition is satisfied if, for example,

$$1 \in F(K)$$
.

*Remark.* The motivation of this paper came from studying splineinterpolation projections defined by means of the minimal norm property. In this case, one does not know the range of the projections and therefore the uniform boundedness does not a priori imply the convergence. Theorem 2 shows that one can blindly conclude the convergence if and *only if* the projections are bounded in a stronger norm.

### II. THE MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM 2. Let  $\Delta_n \subset K$  be such that

K-Lim 
$$\Delta_n = K$$
,

and there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of projections  $p(\Delta_n)$ . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (a) The embedding  $J: K(F) \subseteq C(K)$  is compact.
- (b) For every uniformly bounded sequence  $p(\Delta_n)$ ,

$$||f - p(\Delta_n)f||_{\mathcal{C}} \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in F(K).$$

*Proof.* (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b). For each  $t \in K$ , let  $t_n \in \Delta_n$  with  $t_n \rightarrow t$ . Given any function  $f \in F(K)$ , we obtain

$$f(t) - (p(\Delta_n)f) = f(t) - f(t_n) + p(\Delta_n)f(t_n) - (p(\Delta_n)f(t)).$$

Clearly,  $f(t) - f(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  since f is continuous. The set of functions  $\{p(\Delta_n)f\}$  is uniformly bounded in F(K) and thus, it is compact in C(K). By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, the set  $\{p(\Delta_n)f\}$  is equicontinuous, and therefore

$$(p(\Delta_n)f)(t) - (p(\Delta_n)f)(t_n) \to 0.$$

Thus,  $p(\Delta_n)f$  converges to f pointwise.

Using the compactness argument one more time, we obtain a convergent subsequence

$$p(\Delta_n)f, \quad n \in N_1 \subset N.$$

The lim  $p(\Delta_n)f$  must coincide with the pointwise limit of  $p(\Delta_n)f$  which is the function f itself. Thus, the sequence  $p(\Delta_n)f$  has a unique cluster point, and hence, we have the desired conclusion

$$||f - p(\Delta_n)f||_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in F(K).$$

 $(b) \rightarrow (a)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $1 \in F(K)$ . Given a uniformly bounded sequence of projections,

$$p(\Delta_n)f := \sum_{j=1}^n f(t_j^{(n)}) s_j^{(n)},$$

we can assume that  $1 \in \text{range } p(\Delta_n), \forall n$ . Indeed if this were not true, we could introduce a new projection

$$p_1(\Delta_n)f = f(t_1)\,\sigma_n + \sum_{j=2}^n f(t_j^{(n)})\,s_j^{(n)},\tag{5}$$

where  $\sigma_n = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n s_j^{(n)}$ . Since  $\sigma_n(t_j^{(n)}) = \delta_{1,i}$ , (5) defines an interpolation projection with  $1 \in \text{range } p_1(\Delta_n)$ . The projections

$$p_{1}(\Delta_{n})f = p(\Delta_{n})f - f(t_{1}^{(n)})s_{1}^{(n)} + f(t_{1}^{(n)})\sigma_{n}$$
  
=  $p(\Delta_{n})f + f(t_{1}^{(n)})(\sigma_{n} - s_{1}^{(n)})$   
=  $p(\Delta_{n})f + f(t_{1}^{(n)})[1 - p(\Delta_{n})1]$ 

and

$$\| p_1(\Delta_n) f \|_F \leq \| p(\Delta_n) f \|_F + \| f \|_C [\| 1 \|_F + \| p(\Delta_n) 1 \|_F].$$

Thus, the uniform boundedness of  $|| p(\Delta_n) ||$  implies the uniform boundedness of  $|| p_1(\Delta_n) ||$ .

Now let  $\varepsilon_n > 0$ ;  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ . Consider the functions  $z_n \in F(K)$  such that

$$\sup_{f\in B/F} \|f-p(\varDelta_n)f\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \|z_n-p(\varDelta_n)z_n\|_{\mathcal{C}} + \varepsilon_n.$$

Then (since  $1 \in \text{range } p(\Delta_n)$ ) we can find  $\alpha_n, \beta \neq 0$  such that the sequence of functions

$$g_n = \alpha_n \mathbf{1} + \beta z_n$$

is uniformly bounded in the F-norm;  $g_n(t_k^{(n)}) = 1$  for some  $t_k^{(n)} \in \Delta_n$  and  $\beta^{-1} || g_n - p(\Delta_n) g_n ||_c = || z_n - p(\Delta_n) z_n ||_c$ .

Now, we introduce the functions

$$\phi_n = g_n - \sum_{j \neq k} g_n(t_j^{(n)}) s_j^{(n)}$$

and the projections

$$\hat{p}(\Delta_n)f := \sum_{j \neq k} f(t_j^{(n)}) \, s_j^{(n)} + f(t_k^{(n)}) \, \phi_n.$$

Since  $\phi_n(t_j^{(n)}) = \delta_{jk}$ , the operators  $p(\Delta_n)$  define interpolation projections. Similar to what was done with the functions  $\sigma_n$ , we consider

$$\hat{p}(\Delta_n)f = p(\Delta_n)f + f(t_k^{(n)})[\phi_k - s_k^{(n)}] \\ = p(\Delta_n)f + f(t_k^{(n)})[g_n - p(\Delta_n)g_n].$$

The uniform boundedness of  $|| p(\Delta_n) ||_F$  and  $|| g_n ||_F$  implies the uniform boundedness of the norms  $|| \hat{p}(\Delta_n) ||$ . Hence, by the assumption of Theorem 2,

$$\|p(\mathcal{A}_n)f - f\|_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in F,$$
  
$$\|\hat{p}(\mathcal{A}_n)f - f\|_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in F;$$

and consequently

$$\|p(\Delta_n)f - \hat{p}(\Delta_n)f\|_C \to 0, \qquad \forall f \in F.$$

On the other hand,

$$p(\Delta_n) 1 - \hat{p}(\Delta_n) 1 = s_k^{(n)} - \phi_n = \sum g_n(t_j^{(n)}) s_j^{(n)} - g_n = p(\Delta_n) g_n - g_n.$$

And so

$$\|p(\Delta_n)g_n-g_n\|_C\to 0.$$

By the choice of  $g_n$ , this convergence is equivalent to

$$\sup_{f \in B_F} \| p(\mathcal{\Delta}_n) f - f \|_C \to 0.$$
(6)

Now we can view the operators  $p(\Delta_n)$  and the imbedding J as operators from F(K) into C(K). Then (6) means

$$\|p(\Delta_n)-J\|_{F\to C}\to 0.$$

But  $p(\Delta_n)$  are finite rank operators and hence the operator J must be compact. Thus  $(b) \rightarrow (a)$ .

## **III. ARBITRARY BANACH SPACES**

Let X be an arbitrary Banach space, and let  $K = B_{X^*}$  be a unit ball of the dual space equipped with the weak star topology. Then the Banach space X could be considered as a subspace of C(K). The natural imbedding

$$J: X \subseteq C(K)$$

is certainly continuous but never compact.

Every finite rank projection in X has a form

$$p_n x = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle l_i^{(n)}, x \right\rangle s_j^{(n)},$$

where  $s_j^{(n)} \in X$ ;  $l_j^{(n)} \in B_{X^*}$ . So,  $p_n$  can be interpreted as  $p(\Delta_n)$  with  $\Delta_n = \{l_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n \subset K$ . An immediate corollary of Theorem 2 is:

**PROPOSITION 3.** For a given sequence  $\{l_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n \subset B_X$ , such that  $w^* - \text{Lim}\{l_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n \supset B_{X^*}$ , either there is no uniformly bounded sequence of projections  $p(\Delta_n)$ , or there exists a uniformly bounded sequence of projections  $p(\Delta_n)$  which does not converge to the identity operator pointwise.

In particular, if X is a Hilbert space then the second alternative takes place.

Let Y be another Banach space continuously imbedded into X.

**THEOREM 4.** The imbedding  $Y \subseteq X$  is compact iff every Y-uniformly bounded sequence of projections of the form

$$p_n y = \sum_k \langle l_j^{(n)}, y \rangle s_j^{(n)} \text{ with } l_j^{(n)} \in B_X. \text{ and}$$
$$X - w^* - \operatorname{Lim}\{l_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^n = B_X.,$$

converges to the identity in the X norm.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to observe that since

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow C(B_{X^*}),$$

then  $Y \subseteq C(B_{X^*})$  and the result follows from Theorem 2.

#### Reference

 B. SHEKHTMAN, Some remarks on approximations in C(Ω), in "Approximation Theory III" (E. W. Cheney, Ed.), pp. 829–835, Academic Press, New York/London, 1980.